## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

No. 21 CR 345

v.

Judge John Z. Lee

TIMOTHY MAPES

## MOTION FOR ENTRY OF UNOPPOSED PROTECTIVE ORDER GOVERNING DISCOVERY

Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(d), the United States of America, by JOHN R. LAUSCH, JR., United States Attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, moves for the entry of an unopposed protective order, and in support thereof states as follows:

- 1. The indictment in this case charges defendant with perjury, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1623(a), and attempted obstruction of justice, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1512(c)(2).
- 2. Defendant was charged in this case following an investigation which included the interception of certain communications pursuant to orders issued by the Chief Judge or Acting Chief Judge in accordance with Title 18, United States Code, Section 2518.
- 3. Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, § 2518(9), the contents of intercepted wire communications shall not be disclosed at a hearing or other judicial proceeding unless each party has been provided with a copy of the court order and the accompanying applications and affidavits under which the interception was made. At the present time, those orders, applications, and affidavits are under seal.

- 4. In accordance with its disclosure obligations under Section 2518(9) and Rule 16.1, the government intends to produce to defendant and defendant's counsel copies of the sealed applications, affidavits, and orders authorizing the interception of wire communications in this case.
- 5. The government requests this Court to direct that the release of the applications, affidavits, and court orders, as well as any recordings, reports, and other materials that may be turned over in connection with this matter be subject to the conditions set forth in the proposed protective order.
- 6. The need for a proposed protective order arises from the significant constraints imposed by the federal wiretap statute, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2520, on the disclosure and use of electronic surveillance information. Subsumed in these constraints and, indeed, a driving force behind them, is the protection of the privacy interests of persons including third parties who were intercepted in the electronic surveillance but who have not been charged. Although the wiretap applications, affidavits and orders are being disclosed to defendant in accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 2518(9), they otherwise remain under seal pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2518(8)(b). These materials may be further disclosed only "upon a showing of good cause before a judge of competent jurisdiction." 18 U.S.C. § 2518(8)(b); In re Applications of Kansas City Star, 666 F.2d 1168, 1175-76 (8th Cir. 1981) (observing that the district court's order which barred defendant and his attorneys from disclosing wiretap applications, orders and intercepted conversations was "highly appropriate considering the 'privacy of other people" and noting that the good cause requirement of the statute

called for consideration by the courts of the privacy interests of third parties which

might be affected by the disclosure).

7. The discovery to be provided by the government in this case includes

sensitive information in addition to the wiretap materials, whose unrestricted

dissemination could adversely affect law enforcement interests and the privacy

interests of third parties.

8. The government has discussed the proposed protective order with

counsel for defendant, who does not oppose the entry of the proposed order.

WHEREFORE, the government respectfully moves this Court to enter the

proposed protective order relating to the sealed applications, affidavits, and orders

authorizing the interception of wire communications in this case, which are being

provided to defendant and defendant's counsel as contemplated by Title 18, United

States Code, Section 2518(9), as well as to any other recordings, reports, and

investigative materials that may be turned over in connection with this case.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN R. LAUSCH, JR.

United States Attorney

By: /s/ Julia K. Schwartz

JULIA K. SCHWARTZ

Assistant U.S. Attorney

219 South Dearborn St., Rm. 500

Chicago, Illinois 60604

(312) 353-5326

Dated: June 7, 2021

3